Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 233 (1982) 299–311 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

## MECHANISTIC STUDIES ON DECOMPOSITION OF TRIALKYL-STANNYLLITHIUMS

#### KAZUKO KOBAYASHI (née KUNÔ), MITUYOSI KAWANISI,

Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyôto University, Sakyô-ku, Kyôto, 606 (Japan)

#### TORAZÔ HITOMI and SINPEI KOZIMA \*

Department of Chemistry, School of Liberal Arts & Sciences, Kyôto University, Sakyô-ku, Kyôto, 606 (Japan)

(Received December 23rd, 1981; in revised form February 19th, 1982)

#### Summary

The fast decomposition of trialkylstannyllithiums,  $R_3SnLi$  (R = Me, Et and Bu), in the presence of hexaalkyldistannanes,  $R_3SnSnR_3$ , was observed, and its mechanism was investigated kinetically. Partial dissociation of  $R_3SnLi$  into a  $(RLi)(R_2Sn:)$  complex in a solvent cage is suggested to occur in the initial step. The RLi in the complex can be trapped instantaneously by  $R_3SnSnR_3$  to afford  $R_4Sn$  and  $R_3SnLi$ , and the counterpart  $R_2Sn:$  by  $R_3SnLi$  giving  $R_3SnR_2SnLi$ . The decomposition of  $R_3SnLi$  followed firstorder kinetics within 3.5 h, corresponding well to the rate of disappearance of  $R_3SnSnR_3$  and of appearance of  $R_4Sn$ . The partial dissociation rate constant ( $k_1$ ) of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi into the complex was determined as  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. The values of  $k_1$  are about 100 times those of rate constants (k') for decomposition of  $R_3SnLi$  in the absence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$ . Reformation of  $R_3SnLi$ from the  $(RLi)(R_2Sn:)$  complex is highly significant.

#### Introduction

In the previous paper, we reported a rapid exchange of the  $R_3Sn$  group between  $R_3SnLi$  and  $R_3SnSnR_3$  [1]. In the course of this study, we observed that exceedingly fast decomposition of  $R_3SnLi$  proceeded in the presence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$  to give a large amount of  $R_4Sn$  together with  $R_3SnR_2SnLi$ ,  $(R_3Sn)_3SnLi$ , alkylstannyl polymers, metallic tin and lithium in one day at room temperature. In contrast,  $R_3SnLi$  alone in tetrahydrofuran (THF) decomposes much more slowly [2].

Hitherto, we have found unusually quick decomposition of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi in the

0022-328X/82/0000-0000/\$02.75 © 1982 Elsevier Sequoia S.A.

presence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$ , as follows. When  $R_3SnLi$  is prepared by the reaction of a trialkyltin halide,  $R_3SnX$  (R = Cl, Br), or  $R_3SnSnR_3$  with Li metal (eq. 1, 2) [3,4], the presence of an excess amount of Li metal is essential for pre-

$$R_{3}SnX + 2Li \rightarrow R_{3}SnLi + LiX$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

(2)

(5)

$$R_3SnSnR_3 + 2Li \rightarrow 2R_3SnLi$$

paring  $R_3$ SnLi in an acceptable yield. Otherwise, shortage of Li metal causes the formation of a large amount of  $R_4$ Sn as a by-product. In addition, when  $R_3$ SnSnR<sub>3</sub> is prepared by the reaction of  $R_3$ SnX with Li metal (eq. 3), the presence of a small excess amount of Li metal leads to a low yield of

$$2R_3SnX + 2Li \rightarrow R_3SnSnR_3 + 2LiX$$
(3)

 $R_3SnSnR_3$ , because of the formation of by-products. The high yield of  $R_4Sn$  as a by-product in the above preparative experiments can be interpreted by postulating the equilibrium shown in eq. 4, followed by reaction of the RLi formed with  $R_3SnSnR_3$  to give  $R_4Sn$  and  $R_3SnLi$  (eq. 5).

$$R_{3}SnLi \rightleftharpoons RLi + R_{2}Sn:$$
(4)

$$RLi + R_3SnSnR_3 \rightarrow R_4Sn + R_3SnLi$$

Coates, Blake and Tate suggested a significant conversion of  $Bu_3SnLi$  into BuLi and  $Bu_2Sn$ : in the reaction mixture of BuLi and stannous chloride in ether [5]. In contrast, Tamborski, Ford, and Soloski reported that the formation of BuLi and  $Bu_2Sn$ : could not be detected in THF solution of  $Bu_3SnLi$  prepared by the reaction of  $Bu_3SnCl$  or  $Bu_3SnSnBu_3$  with Li metal (eq. 1, 2) [4]. Recently, Wursthorn, Kuivila, and Smith proposed the dissociation of  $Me_3SnNa$  into MeNa and ( $Me_2Sn$ ) [6].

We have investigated the decomposition mechanism of  $R_3SnLi$  in the presence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$  kinetically, and wish to suggest the transient existence of an alkyllithium-dialkylstannylene complex formed by the partial dissociation of  $R_3SnLi$ .

## **Results and discussion**

The decomposition process of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi in the presence or absence of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> was followed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra, and kinetically investigated by analyses of the decomposition mixtures.

## In the presence of $R_3$ SnSn $R_3$

A mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> in THF at room temperature showed only a single peak due to the rapid exchange of Me<sub>3</sub>Sn groups (eq. 6) in both <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra [1].

$$Me_{3}Sn^{*}Li + Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3} \neq Me_{3}SnLi + Me_{3}Sn^{*}SnMe_{3}$$
(6)

The chemical shifts of these single peaks are dependent on the ratio (r) of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi to Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>. The singlet in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum gradually collapsed into three or four singlets during 20 h at room temperature (Fig. 1). However, the chemical shift of the original singlet remained unchanged within



Fig. 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the system Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi-Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>; r = [Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi]/[Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>].

4 h. The two peaks *a* and *b* in Fig. 1 were assigned to Me<sub>4</sub>Sn (at 0.07 ppm) and  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$ · 3THF (at 0.01 ppm [3]), respectively. In each mixture, another broad signal (*c*) appeared at -0.06, -0.12 and -0.37 ppm for r = 1.3, 2.0 and 8.0, respectively, which could be assigned to a mixture of partially decomposed polymethylpolystannyllithium compounds such as Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi and  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$ . These broad peaks (*c*) gradually moved to a lower field and finally changed into the peaks of Me<sub>4</sub>Sn and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> after one week. During this process deposition of metallic lithium and tin was observed.

The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum of an equimolar mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> showed a singlet at -127.0 ppm. Its magnitude decreased gradually and two new peaks at -114.4 and 0.0 ppm (Me<sub>4</sub>Sn) appeared after 2.5 h. In the spectrum after 4 h there appeared another peak at -98.0 ppm, assignable to (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi·3THF [7] in addition to the three peaks. The chemical shift of the original singlet (at -127.0 ppm) remained unchanged for 4 h. The singlet at -114.4 ppm, assignable to Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi as described below, disappeared in 20 h. The spectrum after 20 h displayed two major peaks at 0.0 ppm (Me<sub>4</sub>Sn) and -98.0 ppm ((Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi·3THF) and a minor broad one at -180.1 ppm.

The equimolar mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> was kept at room temperature for 4 h and treated with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl to convert stannyllithium components into the stannylsilanes. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum showed two new peaks at -110.0 and -196.5 ppm in addition to five peaks assignable to Me<sub>4</sub>Sn (0.0), Me<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub> (-125.6) [8], Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> (-108.7) [9], and (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub> \* (-89.6, -748.3 ppm). Comparing the latter NMR spectrum with the former one, the original singlet at -127.0 ppm in the former corresponds to the two peaks at -125.6 ppm (Me<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub>) and -108.7 ppm (Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>) in the latter [1]. Therefore, the peak at -114.4 ppm in the former spectrum corresponds to the two peaks at -110.0 and -196.5 ppm in the latter, assignable to Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, and is correlated to the Me<sub>3</sub>Sn of Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi<sup>\*</sup>.

In the initial process of the decomposition during 4 h, Me<sub>4</sub>Sn was a major product, while in the later stage  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$  was a major product in addition to Me<sub>4</sub>Sn. The peak at -114.4 ppm assigned to Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi began to decrease after 2.5 h, while that of  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$  gradually appeared after 4 h. This suggests that  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$  was produced at the expense of Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi. The formation of these products could be explained mainly by the following mechanism (eq. 7–13). In order to interpret this decomposition kinetically, we postulate the equilibrium between Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and solvent-separated MeLi and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn: in eq. 7, although we will suggest later a partially aggregated complex  $(RLi)(R_2Sn:)$  in our conclusion instead of the solvent-separated MeLi and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn:. In the presence of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>, the recombination of MeLi and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn: could not occur, since MeLi formed was removed by Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> (eq. 8) very rapidly [10].

$$Me_{3}SnLi \stackrel{\kappa_{1}}{\underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{\kappa_{2}}{\longrightarrow}}} MeLi + Me_{2}Sn:$$
(7)

$$MeLi + Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}^{2}} Me_{4}Sn + Me_{3}SnLi$$
(8)

$$Me_3SnLi + Me_2Sn: \xrightarrow{k_3} Me_3SnMe_2SnLi$$
 (9)

$$Me_{3}SnMe_{2}SnLi \rightarrow Me_{3}SnMeSn: + MeLi$$
 (10)

$$Me_{3}SnMeSn: + Me_{3}SnLi \rightarrow (Me_{3}Sn)_{2}MeSnLi$$
(11)

$$(Me_{3}Sn)_{2}MeSnLi \rightarrow (Me_{3}Sn)_{2}Sn: + MeLi$$
(12)

$$(Me_3Sn)_2Sn: + Me_3SnLi \rightarrow (Me_3Sn)_3SnLi$$
(13)

Aliquots of an equimolar mixture of  $Me_3SnLi$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  kept at room temperature were treated with  $Me_3SiCl$  at suitable time intervals during 4.5 h, and the reaction mixtures were analyzed by <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR and GLC (Table 1). The amounts of  $Me_3SnSiMe_3$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  decreased with the passage of time, while those of  $Me_4Sn$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_2SnSiMe_3$  increased. The increased amount of  $Me_4Sn$  corresponded to the decreased amount of  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$ .  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSiMe_3$  was not detected within 3.5 h. These results indicate that the initial reaction during 3.5 h corresponds to eqs. 7—9. The logarithms of the molarities of  $Me_3SnSiMe_3$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  and those of the differences between the initial molarity of  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  and the molarity of  $Me_4Sn$  formed are plotted against time in Fig. 2. Notably the rates of disappearance of  $Me_3SnLi$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  followed first-order kinetics during 3.5 h. In addition, it was confirmed that the rates of disappearance of  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  were independent of the initial molar concentrations of  $Me_3SnLi$ 

<sup>\*</sup> See Experimental part for the assignment.

Time (h)	Me <sub>3</sub> SnSiMe <sub>3</sub>	Me <sub>3</sub> SnSnMe <sub>3</sub>	Me <sub>4</sub> Sn	Me <sub>3</sub> SnMe <sub>2</sub> SnSiMe <sub>3</sub>	(Me <sub>3</sub> Sn) <sub>3</sub> SnSiMe <sub>3</sub>
0	2.80	2.81			
0.5	2.69	2.54	0.25	0.07	_
1.0	2.45	2.41	0.31	0.08	_
1.5	2.38	2.36	0.54	0.10	
2.0	2,19	2,25	0.62	0.17	
2.5	2,12	2.17	0.93	0.22	
3.5	1.62	1.78	1.05	0.14	
4.5	1.26	1.62	1.7	0.14	0.10
22.0	0.54	0.82	1.7	0.0	0.16

TREATMENT OF AN EQUIMOLAR MIXTURE OF Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi AND Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> WITH Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl. MOLAR DISTRIBUTION OF THE REACTION PRODUCTS

TABLE 1

and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>. If the disappearance of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> and the formation of Me<sub>4</sub>Sn is attributed to eq. 8, the rate constant for disappearance of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> obtained from Fig. 2 could correspond to  $k_1$  in eq. 7. Since the reaction of MeLi with Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> can be regarded as a very rapid reaction [10], the dissociation of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi into MeLi and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn: could be the rate determining step, and is equal to the rate of disappearance of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> (eq. 14). In addition, the rate of disappearance of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi is equal to that of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>. This is consistent with the persistence of the original chemical shift of the peak in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra of the mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>



Fig. 2. Plots of the logarithm of molarity vs. time in an equimolar mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>, ○ Me<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub>: ■ Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>: □ {Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>}<sub>0</sub> — [Me<sub>4</sub>Sn].

remains equal to the initial ratio. By using this relation, eq. 14 can be transformed into eq. 15. The rate of disappearance of  $Me_3SnLi$  also followed eq. 16, since the ratio of  $Me_3SnLi$  to  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  remained constant during the 3.5 h.

$$-\frac{d[Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3}]}{dt} = k_{1}[Me_{3}SnLi]$$

$$-\frac{d[Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3}]}{dt} = rk_{1}[Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3}]$$

$$r = [Me_{3}SnLi]/[Me_{3}SnSnMe_{3}]$$

$$-\frac{d[Me_{3}SnLi]}{dt} = rk_{1}[Me_{3}SnLi]$$
(15)
(16)

The reaction mixture of  $Me_3SnLi$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  in 2:1 molar ratio was treated with  $Me_3SiCl$  and the rates of disappearance of  $Me_3SnLi$  and  $Me_3SnSn-Me_3$  were measured (Fig. 3). The first-order rate constants for the disappearance of  $Me_3SnLi$  and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  were equal to each other, and twice as much as that in the equimolar mixture, as expected from eqs. 15 and 16.

The reaction mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> in 1:2 molar ratio gave the similar kinetic result and the rate constant for disappearance of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> was  $0.5k_1$  (Fig. 4).







Fig. 4. Plots of the logarithm of molarity vs. time in the mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> (1:2), ○ Me<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub>; ■ Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>; □ [Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> - [Me<sub>4</sub>Sn].

In the cases of  $Et_3SnLi-Et_3SnSnEt_3$  and  $Bu_3SnLi-Bu_3SnSnBu_3$  systems, similar treatments to the Me\_3SnLi-Me\_3SnSnMe\_3 system were carried out. The rates of disappearance of R\_3SnLi and R\_3SnSnR\_3 (R = Et, Bu) in both systems followed first-order kinetics. The rate constants for disappearance of R\_3SnSnR\_3 and R\_3SnLi corresponded to the value of  $rk_1$  ( $r = [R_3SnLi]/[R_3SnSnR_3]$ ) in analogy with the Me\_3SnLi-Me\_3SnSnMe\_3 system (Table 2).

These results for the R<sub>3</sub>SnLi-R<sub>3</sub>SnSnR<sub>3</sub> system (R = Me, Et, Bu) indicate that the dissociation of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi into RLi and R<sub>2</sub>Sn: could be operative and that the values of  $k_1$  increase in the order of Et<sub>3</sub>SnLi, Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi, Bu<sub>3</sub>SnLi at room temperature (Table 2).

#### In the absence of $R_3SnSnR_3$

 $R_3$ SnLi decomposes much more slowly in the absence of  $R_3$ SnSn $R_3$  than in the presence of  $R_3$ SnSn $R_3$ . In the absence of  $R_3$ SnSn $R_3$ , Gilman, Cartledge,

## TABLE 2

RATE CONSTANT  $(k_1)^a$  AT 20°C

R ·	$k_1 \times 10^3$ (min	a <sup>-1</sup> )			
Me	2.0				 
Et	1.3				
Bu	2.8				

<sup>a</sup> The constants  $(k_1)$  determined here do not correspond to the complete dissociation of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi into solvent separated RLi and R<sub>2</sub>Sn: as shown in eq. 7, but to the partial dissociation of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi to a solvent-caged (RLi)(R<sub>2</sub>Sn:) shown in Scheme 1. A detailed discussion is given in the latter part of this paper.

OF R <sub>3</sub> SnSnR <sub>3</sub> AT 20 <sup>°</sup> C						
R	k' X 10 <sup>5</sup>	(min <sup>-1</sup> )	·			
Me	2.6					
Et	0.34					
Bu	4.5	(9.8) <sup>a</sup>				

<sup>a</sup> The datum reported by Gilman, Cartledge, and Sim was  $5.9 \times 10^{-3} h^{-1}$  [2].  $5.9 \times 10^{-3} h^{-1} = 9.8 \times 10^{-5} min^{-1}$ .

and Sim reported that the decomposition of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnLi in THF followed pseudofirst-order kinetics [2]. The rate constants of the decomposition of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Et<sub>3</sub>SnLi have now been determined in the same manner as that of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnLi. The observed rate constants (k') in Table 3 were much smaller than  $k_1$  in Table 2. The decomposition reaction of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi in THF has been reported as consisting of either the ring opening of THF with R<sub>3</sub>SnLi [11] (eq. 17) or the reaction of THF with RLi [12,13] (eq. 19) formed by the dissociation of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi (eq. 18). However, Weibel and Oliver [14] pointed out that the reaction shown in eq. 17 appeared to be an incorrect quotation of the work reported by Gilman [2], and there was no evidence for the formation of R<sub>3</sub>Sn(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>OLi. Therefore, eqs. 18 and 19 can be regarded as the main decomposition scheme in the absence of R<sub>3</sub>SnSnR<sub>3</sub>. Using a steady state assumption, the rate of disappearance of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi is expressed by eq. 20.

$$R_{3}SnLi + THF \xrightarrow{\kappa_{1}} R_{3}Sn(CH_{2})_{4}OLi$$
(17)

$$R_{3}SnLi \stackrel{k_{1}}{\underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_{1}}{\longrightarrow}}} RLi + R_{2}Sn:$$
(18)

RLi + THF 
$$\xrightarrow{k_2'}$$
 R(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>OLi +  $\bigvee_{O}$  Li (19)

$$-\frac{d[R_{3}SnLi]}{dt} = k'[R_{3}SnLi] \\ = \left(\frac{k_{1}k'_{2}}{k_{-1}[R_{2}Sn:] + k'_{2}}\right)[R_{3}SnLi]$$
(20)

If the reformation of  $R_3$ SnLi by recombination of RLi with  $R_2$ Sn: in eq. 18 were much slower than the reaction of RLi with THF (eq. 19),  $k_{-1}$ [R<sub>2</sub>Sn:] should be negligibly small as compared with  $k'_2$  in eq. 20, and the rate constant for disappearance of  $R_3$ SnLi (k') should be equal to  $k_1$ . However, the observed rate constant (k') is much smaller than  $k_1$ . This indicates that the reverse reaction in eq. 18 could be highly significant. Taking consideration of rather low reactivity of  $R_2$ Sn: [15], it is unlikely that the recombination between the solvent-separated RLi and  $R_2$ Sn: is very rapid. Instead of the solvent-separated RLi and  $R_2$ Sn:, we therefore propose the formation of an alkyllithium-dialkylstannylene complex (I) [(RLi)(R<sub>2</sub>Sn:)] in a solvent cage as shown in Scheme 1.

#### TABLE 3

RATE CONSTANT (k') FOR DISAPPEARANCE OF R<sub>3</sub>SnLi IN THF SOLUTION IN THE ABSENCE OF  $R_3SnSnR_3$  AT 20°C

$$R_{3}SnLi \xrightarrow{(a) k_{1}} (RLi)(R_{2}Sn:) \xrightarrow{(d) k'_{2}} + R_{2}Sn$$

$$R_{3}SnLi \xrightarrow{(a) k_{1}} (RLi)(R_{2}Sn:) \xrightarrow{(d) k'_{2}} + R_{2}Sn$$

$$Complex I$$

$$(c) k_{2} R_{3}SnSnR_{3}$$

$$R_{4}Sn + R_{3}SnLi + R_{2}Sn:$$

$$R_{4}Sn + R_{3}SnLi + R_{2}Sn:$$

$$R_{2}Sn: + R_{3}SnLi \xrightarrow{(e) k_{3}} R_{3}SnR_{2}SnLi \xrightarrow{(R_{3}Sn)_{3}SnLi}$$

$$reaction rate$$

$$(c) > (b) > (a) \sim (d) \sim (e)$$

In the presence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$ , (RLi) in the complex I is abstracted very rapidly by  $R_3SnSnR_3$  (step c) and, accordingly, the reformation of  $R_3SnLi$ (step b) intrinsically cannot take place. Thus the counterpart ( $R_2Sn$ :) reacts with  $R_3SnLi$  to form  $R_3SnR_2SnLi$  and finally ( $R_3Sn$ )<sub>3</sub>SnLi (step e).

By contrast, in the absence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$ , step b would become significant, since step b occurs much faster than step d. Assuming transient formation of complex I, the rate equation for disappearance of  $R_3SnLi$  in the absence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$  becomes a simple first-order one (eq. 21).

$$-\frac{d[R_{3}SnLi]}{dt} = k'[R_{3}SnLi]$$
$$= \frac{k_{1}k'_{2}}{k_{-1} + k'_{2}} [R_{3}SnLi]$$
(21)

The rate constant  $(k_{-1})$  for the reformation of R<sub>3</sub>SnLi from complex I is given by eq. 22.

$$k_{-1} = (k_1/k' - 1)k_2' \tag{22}$$

In the case of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi, substituting the observed constants  $k_1 = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup> and  $k' = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$  min<sup>-1</sup> in eq. 22, we obtain eq. 23.

$$k_{-1} = 7.6 \times 10 \, k_2' \tag{23}$$

The rate of decomposition of (MeLi) in complex I may be slower than that of free MeLi in THF. The rate constant for the decomposition of free MeLi in

THF can be calculated as  $4.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$  (at 25°C) from the reported data [13a]. Therefore, the constant  $k'_2$  is less than  $4.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$ . Thus we can estimate the upper limit of  $k_{-1}$ .

$$k_{-1} \leq 3.1 \times 10^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$$

To summarize, the decomposition of  $R_3SnLi$  in both the presence and the <u>absence of  $R_3SnSnR_3$  apparently involves partial dissociation of  $R_3SnLi$  into a (RLi)( $R_2Sn$ :) complex (I) and rapid recombination.</u>

### Experimental

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian-EM390 (90 MHz) spectrometer. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra were measured in the pulse Fourier transform mode using a JEOL-FX90Q (33.41 MHz) spectrometer consisting of 8K data point with spectral width 10 kHz. <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts were determined relative to that of  $\beta$ -methylene protons of THF (1.75 ppm) and are reported as ppm in the Me<sub>4</sub>Si scale. <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts were determined relative to external Me<sub>4</sub>Sn. Gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) was run on a Silicone DC HV column.

 $R_3$ SnLi (R = Me [3,4], Bu [4]) was prepared by the reaction of  $R_3$ SnCl with Li metal. Et<sub>3</sub>SnLi was formed by the reaction of Et<sub>3</sub>SnBr with Li metal [16].

### Decomposition of the Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi-Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> system

(a) Observations in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. Three samples containing Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> in ratios of 1.3, 2.0 and 8.0 were prepared by adding a THF solution of the former compound to the latter. Each <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of these three mixtures, measured after 0.1 h, displayed a broad singlet at 0.02, -0.03and -0.23 ppm, respectively (Fig. 1). A broad singlet was observed at the same position in each mixture after 2 h. The singlet at 0.02 ppm for Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi/  $Me_3SnSnMe_3 = 1.3$ , (A) in Fig. 1 collapsed into a broad single peak at 0.01 ppm and a peak of Me₄Sn at 0.07 ppm after 5 h at room temperature. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the mixture, kept at room temperature for 20 h, showed two singlets of Me<sub>4</sub>Sn (at 0.07 ppm) and (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi·3THF (at 0.01 ppm,  $J(^{119}Sn-CH_3) = 34.5 Hz$  [3] and a very broad peak at -0.06 ppm. The singlet at -0.03 ppm (B in Fig. 1) collapsed into two signals at 0.07 and -0.05 ppm after 5 h, and then into three signals at 0.07, 0.01 and -0.12 ppm after 20 h. The single peak at -0.23 ppm (C in Fig. 1) collapsed into four signals at 0.07, 0.01, -0.07 and -0.34 ppm after 5 h. The spectrum after 20 h showed four signals at 0.07, 0.01, -0.07 and -0.37 ppm. The broad peaks observed at -0.06, -0.12 and -0.37 ppm after 20 h (peak c in Fig. 1) moved to lower fields and were converted into the peaks of  $Me_4Sn$  (0.07 ppm) and  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$ (0.22 ppm) in a week.

In every case metallic lithium and tin were deposited in the NMR tube. The lithium metal deposited on the inside of the NMR tube as a brown ring in the upper part of the solution. The decomposed mixture was poured off, and the brown ring was washed with dry THF and benzene. When a small amount of water was poured into the tube, the brown ring reacted violently with water with generation of a gas. Metallic tin was isolated by filtration from the hydrated reaction mixture.

(b) Observations in <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra. To 266 mg (0.81 mmol) of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> in an NMR tube was added 0.82 ml of a 1 *M* THF solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi and 122 mg (0.35 mmol) of Bu<sub>4</sub>Sn (internal standard for integration). The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum of the solution measured after 0.2 h showed a single peak at -127.0 ppm. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum after 2.5 h displayed three peaks at 0.0 ppm (Me<sub>4</sub>Sn, 0.36 mmol), -114.4 ppm (Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi, 0.11 mmol), and -127.0 ppm. The spectrum after 4 h exhibited four peaks at 0.0 ppm (Me<sub>4</sub>Sn, 0.51 mmol), -114.4 ppm (Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi, 0.11 mmol), -98.0 ppm ((Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi, 0.04 mmol) [7] and -127.0 ppm. The NMR spectrum after 20 h showed three singlets at 0.0 (0.55 mmol), -98.0 (0.26 mmol) and -180.1 ppm. The molar amounts of the products were calculated by the integral ratios of the products to Bu<sub>4</sub>Sn.

#### Treatment of the $Me_3SnLi-Me_3SnSnMe_3$ system with $Me_3SiCl$

To 920.5 mg (2.81 mmol) of Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> and 644.0 mg (1.86 mmol) of Bu<sub>4</sub>Sn (standard) was added 2.8 ml of a 1 *M* THF solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnLi, and the mixture was kept at room temperature. At the predetermined times 0.5 ml samples of the solution were added to 0.1 ml of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl at  $-10^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixtures were investigated by <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy and subjected to GLC analysis (Table 1). <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR: Me<sub>4</sub>Sn (0.0 ppm), Me<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub> (-125.6 ppm) [8], Me<sub>3</sub>SnSnMe<sub>3</sub> (-108.7 ppm) [9], Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub> (-110.0 ppm, Me<sub>3</sub>Sn, and -196.5 ppm, Me<sub>2</sub>Sn), (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub> (-89.6 ppm, Me<sub>3</sub>Sn, and -748.3 ppm, Sn).

A mixture with the ratio  $r = [Me_3SnLi]/[Me_3SnSnMe_3] = 2.0$  was prepared by the addition of 3.3 ml of a 1 *M* Me\_3SnLi solution to 544.0 mg (1.66 mmol) of Me\_3SnSnMe\_3 and 332.3 mg (0.96 mmol) of Bu\_4Sn. Another mixture with r = 0.5 was prepared similarly. At suitable intervals, 0.5 ml samples of these two mixtures were added to 0.1 ml of Me\_3SiCl. The relation between the molarity and the time is shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

# Assignment of the chemical shifts in $^{119}$ Sn NMR spectra of $Me_3$ Sn $Me_2$ SnLi, $Me_3$ Sn $Me_2$ SnSi $Me_3$ and $(Me_3Sn)_3$ SnSi $Me_3$

The chemical shifts of the unisolated compounds  $Me_3SnMe_2SnLi$ ,  $Me_3SnMe_2SnSiMe_3$ , and  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSiMe_3$  in the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra were determined as below.

	δ(Me <sub>3</sub> Sn)	$\delta(Me_2Sn)$	δ(Sn)	Ref.
MassnSnMas				9
MeaSnMeaSnSnMea	-99.5	-261.7		9
Me <sub>3</sub> SnMe <sub>2</sub> SnLi	(-114.4)	-		
Me <sub>3</sub> SnMe <sub>2</sub> SnSiMe <sub>3</sub>	(-110.0)	(—196.5)		
(Me <sub>3</sub> Sn) <sub>3</sub> SnSnMe <sub>3</sub>	-80.3		-805,3	17
(Me <sub>3</sub> Sn) <sub>3</sub> SnLi	-98.0		-1062.9	7
(Me <sub>3</sub> Sn) <sub>3</sub> SnSiMe <sub>3</sub>	(—89.6)		(—748.3)	

The data in parentheses are unreported, and are assigned in this paper.

 $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSiMe_3$  prepared by the reaction of an authentic sample of  $(Me_3Sn)_3$ -SnLi [7] with Me\_3SiCl displayed two peaks at -89.6 and -748.3 ppm. The

chemical shifts could reasonably be assigned to the Me<sub>3</sub>Sn and Sn of  $(Me_3Sn)_3$ - $SnSiMe_3$ , respectively, by comparison with the reported data of  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSn$ -Me<sub>3</sub> [17] and (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi [7]. Since it is difficult to isolate Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi by the conventional method, the authentic sample of  $Me_3SnMe_2SnSiMe_3$  could not be prepared by the reaction of Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl. However, the peak at -114.4 ppm in the decomposition mixture disappeared on treatment with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl, and two peaks appeared at -110.0, -196.5 ppm. The assignment of the peaks at -114.4 and -110.0 ppm to the Me<sub>3</sub>Sn in Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>2</sub>SnLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SnMe<sub>5</sub>SnSiMe<sub>3</sub>, respectively, is reasonable when compared with the corresponding chemical shifts of  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  [9] and  $Me_3SnMe_2SnSnMe_3$  [9]. The  $Me_2Sn$  of  $Me_3SnMe_2SnLi$  could not be detected in the spectra of the decomposition mixture, since accumulation times longer than 30 min were not allowed in order to follow the decomposition process at intervals. However, the  $Me_2Sn$ of  $Me_3SnMe_2SnSiMe_3$  was observed at -196.5 ppm. The assignment of the peak at -196.5 ppm to the Me<sub>2</sub>Sn is reasonable when compared with that of  $Me_3SnMe_2SnSnMe_3$  at -261.7 ppm [9]. In addition, the difference of the chemical shifts of 65.2 ppm due to replacement of  $SnMe_3$  by  $SiMe_3$  in  $Me_3SnMe_2Sn-$ R corresponds to the similar lower field shift of 57.0 ppm in the pair of  $(Me_{3}Sn)_{3}SnSnMe_{3}$  (-805.3 ppm) and  $(Me_{3}Sn)_{3}SnSiMe_{3}$  (-748.3 ppm).

## Treatment of (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>3</sub>SnLi · 3THF with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl

 $(Me_3Sn)_3SnLi \cdot 3THF$  was prepared by evaporation of THF from a  $Me_3SnLi$ solution in THF [3]. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum showed two peaks at -98.0 and -1062.9 ppm (<sup>1</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn-<sup>119</sup>Sn) = 5493 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn-<sup>119</sup>Sn) = 952 Hz) [7]. To the solution was added  $Me_3SiCl$  at -10°C and the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum of the mixture was measured. The spectrum displayed several peaks assignable to  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSiMe_3$  (at -89.6 ppm,  $Me_3Sn$ , and -748.3 ppm, Sn),  $(Me_3Sn)_4Sn$  (at -80.3 ppm,  $Me_3Sn$ , and -805.1 ppm, Sn) [17],  $Me_3SnSnMe_3$  (at -108.7 ppm) [9],  $Me_3SnSiMe_3$  (at -125.1 ppm) [8] and unidentified peak at -99.4 ppm. The integral ratio of <sup>119</sup>Sn in the above products was 1:1:0.2:0.2:0.3, respectively. The yield of  $(Me_3Sn)_3SnSiMe_3$  was low, as reported previously [3].

## Treatment of the $Et_3SnLi$ - $Et_3SnSnEt_3$ system with $Me_3SiCl$

To each of the two mixtures, one containing 271.0 mg (0.65 mmol) of  $Et_3SnSnEt_3$  and 76.0 mg (0.22 mmol) of  $Bu_4Sn$  (standard), and the other 121.4 mg (0.30 mmol) of  $Et_3SnSnEt_3$  and 48.6 mg (0.14 mmol) of  $Bu_4Sn$ , was added a 0.6 ml portion of a 1 *M*  $Et_3SnLi$  solution, ([ $Et_3SnLi$ ]/[ $Et_3SnSnEt_3$ ] = 1.0 and 2.0 respectively). Aliquots of the each mixture were treated with  $Me_3SiCl$  at  $-10^{\circ}C$  after 0.1, 1.5, 2.75 and 4.0 h, and analyzed by GLC. The rate constant ( $k_1$ ) was determined to be  $1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$  (Table 2).

## Treatment of the Bu<sub>3</sub>SnLi-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnSnBu<sub>3</sub> system with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl

A mixture with the ratio  $r = [Bu_3SnLi]/[Bu_3SnSnBu_3] = 1.0$  was prepared by the addition of 0.8 ml of a 1 *M* Bu<sub>3</sub>SnLi solution to 475.6 mg (0.82 mmol) of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnSnBu<sub>3</sub> and 28.4 mg (0.12 mmol) of Et<sub>4</sub>Sn (standard). Another mixture with r = 1/3 was prepared similarly. Aliquots of the each mixture were treated with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl after 0.1, 0.75, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, and 4.5 h, and analyzed by GLC. The constant ( $k_1$ ) was determined to be  $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2).

#### Acknowledgment

We are grateful for support of this work by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research by the Ministry of Education (Grant No. 56430008).

## References

- 1 K. Kobayashi (née Kuno), M. Kawanisi, S. Kozima, T. Hitomi, H. Iwamura, and T. Sugawara, J. Organometal. Chem., 217 (1981) 315.
- 2 H. Gilman, F.K. Cartledge, and S.-Y. Sim, J. Organometal. Chem., 4 (1965) 332.
- 3 W.L. Wells and T.L. Brown, J. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1968) 271.
- 4 C. Tamborski, F.E. Ford, and E.J. Soloski, J. Org. Chem., 28 (1963) 237.
- 5 G.E. Coates, D. Blake, and J.M. Tate, J. Chem. Soc., (1961) 618.
- 6 K.W. Wursthorn, H.G. Kuivila, and G.F. Smith, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 2779.
- 7 J.D. Kennedy and W. McFarlane, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1976) 1219.
- 8 J.D. Kennedy, W. McFarlane, G.S. Pyne, and B. Wrackmeyer, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1975) 386.
- 9 T.N. Mitchell and G. Walter, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, (1977) 1842.
- 10 W.C. Still, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 4836.
- 11 R.C. Poller, The Chemistry of Organotin Compounds, Logos Press, London, 1970, p. 155.
- 12 A. Rembaum, S.-P. Siano, and N. Indictor, J. Polymer Sci., 56 (1962) S17.
- 13 a) H. Gilman and B.J. Gaj, J. Org. Chem., 22 (1957) 1165; b) H. Gilman and G.L. Schwebke, J. Organometal. Chem., 4 (1965) 483.
- 14 A.T. Weibel and J.P. Oliver, J. Organometal. Chem., 82 (1974) 281.
- 15 a) S. Kozima, K. Kobayashi, and M. Kawanisi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 49 (1976) 2837; b) K. Kobayashi, K. Kunô, M. Kawanisi, and S. Kozima, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 50 (1977) 1353; c) K. Kunô, K. Kobayashi, M. Kawanisi, S. Kozima, and T. Hitomi, J. Organometal. Chem., 137 (1977) 349; d) U. Schröer and W.P. Neumann, Angew. Chem., 87 (1975) 247; e) W.P. Neumann and A. Schwarz, Angew. Chem., 87 (1975) 844.
- a) V.S. Zavgorodnii, B.I. Ionin, and A.A. Petrov, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 37 (1967) 949; Chem. Abstr., 68 (1968) 39754; b) S.-Y. Sim., Ph. D. Thesis, Iowa State Univ., (1966); Chem. Abstr., 66 (1967) 85836.
- 17 T.N. Mitchell, personal communication; G.A. Webb (Ed.), Annual Reports on NMR spectroscopy, 8 (1978) 361.